

sequence-specific DNA binding by acetylation of the p53 C-terminal domain. Cell 90, 595–606

- 41 Liu, L. et al. (1999) p53 sites acetylated in vitro by PCAF and p300 are acetylated in vivo in response to DNA damage. Mol. Cell. Biol. 19, 1202–1209
- 42 Schiltz, R.L. et al. (1999) Overlapping but distinct patterns of histone acetylation by the human coactivators p300 and PCAF within nucleosomal substrates. J. Biol. Chem. 274, 1189–1192
- 43 Steger, D.J. et al. (1998) Purified histone acetyltransferase complexes stimulate HIV-1 transcription from preassembled nucleosomal arrays. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 95, 12924–12929
- 44 Wang, L. et al. (1998) Critical residues for histone acetylation by Gcn5, functioning in Ada and SAGA complexes, are also required for
- transcriptional function in vivo. Genes Dev. 12, 640–653 45 Zhang, W. et al. (1998) Essential and redundant functions of histone acetylation revealed by mutation of
- target lysines and loss of the Gcn5p acetyltransferase. EMBO J. 17, 3155–3167
- 46 Roberts, S.M. and Winston, F. (1997) Essential functional interactions of SAGA, a Saccharomyces cerevisiae complex of Spt, Ada, and Gcn5 proteins, with the Snf/Swi and Srb/mediator complexes. Genetics 147, 451–465
- 47 Pollard, K.J. and Peterson, C.L. (1997) Role of ADA/GCN5 products in antagonizing chromatin-mediated
- transcriptional repression. Mol. Cell. Biol. 17, 6212–6222 48 Gregory, P.D. et al. (1998) Absence of Gcn5 HAT activity defines a novel state in the opening of chromatin at
- the PHO5 promoter in yeast. Mol. Cell 1, 495-505 49 Perez-Martin, J. and Johnson, A.D. (1998) Mutations in

chromatin components suppress a defect of Gcn5 protein in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol. .
18. 1049–1054

- 50 Parekh, B.S. and Maniatis, T. (1999) Virus infection leads to localized hyperacetylation of histones H3 and H4 at the IFN-beta promoter. Mol. Cell 3, 125–129
- 51 Ornaghi, P. et al. (1999) The bromodomain of Gcn5p interacts in vitro with specific residues in the N terminus of histone H4. J. Mol. Biol. 287, 1-7
- 52 Herrera, J.E. et al. (1999) Specific acetylation of chromosomal protein HMG-17 by PCAF alters its interaction with nucleosomes. Mol. Cell. Biol. 19, 3466–3473
- 53 Sakaguchi, K. et al. (1998) DNA damage activates p53 through a phosphorylation-acetylation cascade. Genes Dev. 12, 2831–2841

Regulating actin-filament dynamics *in vivo*

Hui Chen, Barbara W. Bernstein and James R. Bamburg

The assembly and disassembly (i.e. turnover) of actin filaments in response to extracellular signals underlie a wide variety of basic cellular processes such as cell division, endocytosis and motility. The bulk turnover of subunits is 100–200 times faster in cells than with pure actin, suggesting a complex regulation in vivo. Significant progress has been made recently in identifying and clarifying the roles of several cellular proteins that coordinately regulate actin-filament turnover.

THE DYNAMIC NATURE of actin filaments allows cells to respond to extracellular signals by moving, changing shape and translocating intracellular organelles. Such processes are critical to the development and function of a multicellular organism, but are also important in the disease state, for example the ability of a tumor cell to become metastatic. A number of proteins are involved in modulating actin dynamics, among which are proteins in the actindepolymerizing factor (ADF)/cofilin (AC) family. The regulation and function of AC proteins must be integrated with the activity of actin-interacting protein 1 (Aip1), profilin, β -thymosins, gelsolin, tropomyosin and capping proteins

H. Chen, B.W. Bernstein and J.R. Bamburg are at the Dept of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, and the Molecular, Cellular, and Integrative Neuroscience Program, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523, USA Email: jbamburg@lamar.colostate.edu

(including the actin-related protein complex Arp2/3) to control processes such as lamellipodial extension, which will be discussed.

Actin dynamics

Actin is a major constituent of the cytoskeleton of almost all eukaryotic cells. Actin exists either in a monomeric form, G-actin, or in a filamentous form, F-actin, and each actin subunit binds to either ATP or ADP. The minimal concentration of actin required for assembly $[i.e.$ the critical concentration (Cc) is lower for ATP–actin than for ADP–actin¹. At the Cc of the filament end, the rate of subunit addition to the end of filament equals the rate of subunit dissociation from the same end. Net polymerization occurs when the G-actin concentration is higher than Cc, and net depolymerization occurs when the G-actin concentration is lower than Cc. The major difference between ATP–actin and ADP– actin concerns the behavior of the polymer. In the absence of nucleotide

hydrolysis, polymers behave as equilibrium polymers with identical Ccs for both ends. However, in reality, actin filaments are non-equilibrium polymers because nucleotide hydrolysis occurs in the filament. Furthermore, this hydrolysis lags behind the assembly process.

Filaments have a pointed end (an arrowhead structure seen in filaments decorated with myosin fragments), which is the slow-growing (minus) end with higher Cc (Cc^-). The barbed-end of the filament is the fast-growing (plus) end with lower Cc (Cc^+). At steady state (Fig. 1a), the G-actin concentration (also called the steady-state concentration, Cs) is such that a net assembly of subunits at the plus end equals the net disassembly at the minus end, a process called treadmilling². Filament length and number are relatively constant.

The filament-turnover cycle is thought to consist, subsequently, of the addition of ATP–monomer to the barbed end, hydrolysis of ATP within the incorporated subunit, release of P_i into solution, dissociation of the ADP–monomer from the pointed end, and exchange of ATP for ADP on the monomer (Fig. 1b). *In vivo*, ATP–actin is the predominant form in the monomer pool3, whereas ADP–actin and, under conditions of rapid assembly, perhaps ADP-P_i–actin⁴ are the major internal subunits of F-actin.

The motile events of non-muscle cells involve active, precisely controlled reorganization of the actin-filament network. Filament turnover is 100–200-fold faster in cells than for pure actin *in vitro*5. Because actin filaments gain and lose their subunits only at their ends, this enhanced turnover could arise from an alteration in subunit on and off rates, an increase in number of free filament ends, or both. The rapid turnover cannot be accomplished without proteins that regulate actin assembly through a variety of activities. Some proteins sequester actin monomers to prevent

spontaneous nucleation of filaments (b-thymosins) or interact with actin monomers to enhance nucleotide exchange (profilin). Some sever F-actin to generate more filament ends for assembly or disassembly (AC proteins, gelsolin). Other proteins cap filament ends to regulate addition or loss of actin subunits (capping protein, gelsolin, Arp2/3 complex), to nucleate filament growth (Arp2/3 complex), or to enhance subunit dissociation by AC proteins.

How AC proteins modulate actin-filament dynamics

AC proteins are an essential group of actin-binding proteins ubiquitous among eukaryotes. Their highly complex

Figure 1

The turnover of an actin filament. (a) The actin filament is a polar structure with two different ends. The slowgrowing pointed end has a higher critical concentration (Cc) than the fast-growing barbed end. At steady state, the net assembly at the barbed end equals the net disassembly at the pointed end (treadmilling). The G-actin concentration under these conditions is called steadystate concentration (Cs). (b) The filament turnover at steady state involves a sequence of actin assembly, ATP hydrolysis, P_i release, filament disassembly and nucleotide exchange. For more detailed discussion, see text, and for a more detailed kinetic analysis, see Ref. 1.

regulation allows them to modulate with spatial and temporal precision the filament turnover needed for many actinbased processes in non-muscle cells. A growing body of evidence supports the importance of AC in actin turnover in cells: (1) AC proteins are localized to cell regions with highly dynamic actin filaments^{6,7}; (2) AC proteins increase the turnover rate of actin comet tail of *Listeria monocytogenes*8,9; and (3) unlike higher eukaryotes that express two or three different AC proteins, yeast express only one, and mutations that reduce its activity cause defects in filament $disassembly¹⁰$.

Many AC proteins bind to ADP– G-actin with ~30–80-fold higher affinity

than for ATP–G-actin at physiological ionic strength 8,11,12 . AC proteins bind monomeric actin in a 1:1 complex and inhibit nucleotide exchange^{13,14}. With the exception of AC from yeast and *Dictyostelium*, the activity of AC is inhibited by phosphorylation at Ser3 (Ref. 15) or its equivalent Ser6 in plants. In metazoans, ACs are the only substrates for LIM kinases $16,17$, two forms of which are regulated differentially by members of the Rho family of $\rm GTPases^{18}.$ Both ubiquitous and specific phosphatases are involved in dephosphorylation of AC (Ref. 19). AC proteins are also inhibited by PtdIns(4,5) P_2 binding, pH <7.0 and tropomyosinsaturation of F-actin²⁰.

With the exception of actophorin (i.e. AC protein in amoeba) binding to amoeba F -actin²¹, AC proteins bind to F-actin in a cooperative manner^{13,22}. AC binds to ADPactin with higher affinity than to ADP-Pi–actin or ATP– actin8,23, suggesting that the change in conformation of F-actin that follows P_i release 24 enhances AC binding. Normal F-actin has a helical twist that results in a crossover every 35 nm. Actin filaments decorated with AC have shorter actin crossovers (~27 nm), although the rise per subunit remains the same25,26. The consequence of this AC-induced helical twist of approximately -5° per subunit is the weakening of the lateral actin–actin contacts in the filament, which could cause filament fraying and severing26. The AC-induced twist also eliminates the phalloidin-binding site on F-actin so that AC-saturated Factin does not stain with fluorescent phalloidin.

The mechanism by which AC proteins enhance filament dynamics has been somewhat controversial. In early studies of AC action, a weak (nonstoichiometric) filament-severing activity was detected^{4,13,27}, suggesting that one mechanism for enhanced depolymerization was the generation of additional filament ends. The concentration of filament ends reached a plateau and the number of filaments eventually declined¹³. However, Carlier et al reported that recombinant AC proteins enhanced both the association rate (up to 12-fold) at the barbed ends of filaments and the dissociation rate (up to 22-fold) at the pointed ends in the apparent absence of any filament-severing activity⁸. Other scientists reported less of an effect on subunit off-rates and more severing activity with different AC proteins^{21,23,28}. Du and Frieden²⁹ interpreted their actinassembly kinetics in the presence of yeast cofilin completely in terms of severing, although other models cannot be excluded. Some of these differences in AC activity could be due to a weaker severing activity of recombinant proteins compared with tissue-derived proteins²⁰. Furthermore, upon binding to F-actin, different AC proteins induce a different extent of twist²⁵, and the degree of twist might determine the ability of the AC protein to sever the filament. Moriyama and Yahara²⁸ developed an assay that looked at both filament numbers (by trapping with a barbed-end capping complex) and rates of subunit loss. They found that porcine cofilin increased filament numbers by severing filaments with a maximum of approximately one severing event per 290 actin subunits $\left(\frac{0.8}{0.8}\right)$ m in length). Subunit off-rates were also enhanced to a maximum of ~6.4-fold. Mutant forms of cofilin were identified that differentially affected severing or the enhanced off-rate at the pointed end. Yeast cells expressing mutant cofilin with defects in severing are more impaired in their growth than those expressing mutant cofilins with defects in depolymerization, suggesting that the ability of AC to sever is an essential process, independent of depolymerization. Taken together, a

current model for generic AC activity (see Fig. 2) suggests that severing occurs, probably requiring saturation of relatively long regions of an actin filament with AC, and that subunit loss at the pointed end of the filament is also enhanced. Severing is most likely to occur at the junction between AC-saturated and naked F-actin, and possibly only on relatively long filaments ($>1 \mu m$) that might have the tendency to fragment as a result of thermal motion.

Another important consideration for the regulation of filament turnover in cells is the potential tight coupling of cycles of AC phosphorylation and dephosphorylation (phosphocycling) to filament turnover. Under *in vivo* conditions thought to enhance filament turnover, levels of phosphorylated and dephosphorylated AC often do not change, but the half-life of the phosphate group on AC decreases significantly¹⁹. Filament turnover could be linked to AC phosphocycling by the mechanism shown in Fig. 2. Nucleotide exchange can be a rate-limiting step in reassembly. AC inhibits nucleotide exchange on the ADP– actin that dissociates from pointed ends. Transient phosphorylation of AC that dissociates from this complex will allow the free ADP–actin to exchange nucleotides. Removal of the phosphate from AC then reactivates it for another round of subunit removal. Thus, phosphocycling of AC could help drive filament dynamics, especially if the kinase and phosphatase are spatially separated. Within cells, other proteins, in particular profilin, contribute to these regulatory activities.

The complex regulation of actin dynamics in lamellipodial extension

Whether considering neurosecretion, lamellipodial extension or any other cellular process dependent upon actin filament turnover, eventually, the precise temporal and spatial coordination of assembly and disassembly needs to be understood. Currently, lamellipodial movement seems to be the best-understood process 30 . We suggest that the following three features can effectively integrate the activity of numerous actinbinding proteins required for coordinated cell movement: (1) protein localization, (2) signalling that modulates multiple proteins, and (3) a rate-limiting step that changes under different cellular conditions.

Molecules involved in actin-filament turnover are highly localized or spatially regulated in the leading edge. This allows actin to undergo cycles of

Figure 2

ADF/cofilin (AC) enhances the turnover of actin filaments. AC increases the dissociation rate at the pointed (minus) end and can enhance the association rate at the barbed (plus) end⁸. The dissociation of phosphate from ADP-P-actin filaments promotes AC binding to the filament^{4,8,21}, and the binding of AC to the filament can also promote P_i dissociation²¹. Filament severing by AC creates short filaments with additional free pointed and barbed ends that can contribute to enhanced turnover. The nucleotide exchange on the monomer can be a rate-limiting step. The binding of AC to ADP–actin monomer inhibits nucleotide exchange. The phosphorylation of AC prevents its association with ADP–actin, allowing the binding of profilin to actin to enhance the exchange of ATP for ADP on actin monomer. The dephosphorylation of AC reactivates it to bind and depolymerize actin filaments. Cc, critical concentration.

assembly at the front and disassembly in the rear of the lamellipodium that generate force for extension³¹. Drugs that inhibit polymerization of actin block forward movement of the cell³². The barbed ends of the filaments are facing towards the leading edge where actin assembles predominately. Electron-microscopic examination of the lamellipodia of keratocytes and fibroblasts³³ showed an extensively branched array of actin filaments (called dendritic brush) at the leading edge. The pointed ends are associated through the Arp2/3 complex (comprising seven subunits) with the sides of other filaments 34 , resulting in Y-junctions³³. As an alternative to individual filament treadmilling, a treadmilling model for the entire actinfilament array has been proposed 35 (Fig. 3). The model involves steps of nucleation with pointed-end capping, elongation at free barbed ends at the leading edge, ATP-hydrolysis and P_i release, capping of barbed ends as the filament array moves away from the leading edge, pointed-end uncapping and disassembly, presumably from the pointed end. In Fig. 3 we incorporate other actinregulating proteins into a model for array treadmilling that diagrams the spatial control of filament dynamics.

REVIEWS

Actin assembles predominately at the leading edge because the concentration of uncapped barbed-ends is high in this region and can be rate-limiting for polymerization. The uncapped barbed ends can be generated by *de novo* nucleation, severing of existing filaments and removal of barbed-end capping proteins. The Arp2/3 complex might serve to generate new barbed ends through *de novo* nucleation34,36 or it might capture and stabilize pointed ends of actin filaments generated through severing 37 . The availability of barbed ends is reduced by the barbed-end capping proteins that bind filaments with high affinity $(K_d \sim 1 \text{ nM})^{38}$. These capping proteins are modulated by Ca^{2+} or PtdIns $(4,5)P$ ₂ levels, or both³⁸. The effect of severing will greatly amplify barbed-end assembly in the presence of pointed-end capping proteins such as the $Arp2/3$ complex³⁹, simply because

Figure 3

Model for the turnover of the actin-filament array at the leading edge of lamellipodia. The actin network at the leading edge of motile cells is characterized by an extensively branched array of actin filaments with barbed ends facing forwards and pointed ends making Y-junctions (at \sim 70° angles) with other filaments that are linked by the Arp2/3 complex³³. The treadmilling of the dendritic array is regulated by several actin-binding proteins as described below. (1) The Arp2/3 complex is activated upon binding to WASP that is activated by the small GTPase cdc42. (2) The active Arp2/3 complex nucleates actin-filament assembly and caps the free pointed end of the filaments $34,36$ or (3) it binds to the side of a filament and then nucleates filament growth or captures the pointed ends of a pre-existing filament 37 . Growth of filaments is rapid and the lag in P. dissociation leads to filaments in the leading edge (green shading) that are composed predominantly of ATP- and ADP-P_i-actin and do not bind to ADF/cofilin (AC). (4) Capping of the barbed ends by capping proteins prevents their further elongation. At the rear of lamellipodia, two mechanisms, filament severing and uncapping of pointed ends by removal of Arp2/3 complex, could contribute to the rapid depolymerization. Severing by AC is likely to occur at junctions between regions of filaments that are saturated with AC and naked F-actin. The depolymerization is enhanced by Aip1 (not shown). (5) AC enhances depolymerization of ADP–actin from free filament ends in the rear of lamellipodia. (6) The complex of AC and ADP–actin that dissociates from the filament ends is in equilibrium with AC and ADP–actin monomer. (7) The nucleotide exchange on actin monomer is a slow process, further inhibited by AC, whereas profilin enhances this rate^{11,49,50}. (8) ATP–actin monomers are sequestered by β -thymosins⁴⁷ to prevent spontaneous nucleation, but provide a pool of ATP–actin for assembly.

the number of free barbed ends relative to pointed ends will increase. The localization of Arp2/3 complex to the leading edge helps to provide the spatial organization necessary for locomotion. The Arp2/3 complex is activated for actin nucleation by binding to proteins in the Wiscott–Aldrich Syndrome protein (WASP) family, which are in turn activated by cdc42 (Refs 30,39). The implication of *in vitro* studies is that the WASP-activated Arp2/3 complex binds laterally along pre-existing filaments 36 , thus promoting actin assembly and the formation of the Y-junctions in the dendritic brush. The filaments adjacent to the membrane are apparently resistant to AC depolymerization³³, possibly because they are composed predominantly of ATP–actin and ADP-P_i–actin. If actin-assembly rates are rapid and P_i release is slow, AC proteins would be restricted in binding to regions of filaments somewhat removed from the leading edge4. Another possible mode of AC regulation in the leading edge involves Arp2/3 complex capping of pointed ends. AC proteins can rapidly depolymerize filaments capped with Arp2/3 complex *in vitro*40, but *in vivo*, filaments capped with Arp2/3 appear to be more stable³³, suggesting activation of Arp2/3 complex by WASP or some other factor inhibits its removal by AC.

The temporal coordination of assembly might be generated by signals such as PtdIns $(4,5)P_2$ that could modulate the

activity of several proteins simultaneously. Extracellular signalling, which reduces phospholipase C activity or activates PtdIns-4 kinase and PtdIns-5 kinase, could increase PtdIns $(4,5)P_2$ and stimulate
barbed-end assembly via several barbed-end coordinated processes. These include PtdIns $(4,5)P$ ₂ inhibition of AC depolymerizing and severing activity 20 , prevention of capping protein from binding to barbed ends, and uncapping of gelsolin-bound filaments 38,41 . However, other signals such as Ca^{2+} and pH might activate both assembly- and disassembly-enhancing proteins. The integrated effect will depend upon the relative concentrations and availability of each protein and signalling species in the modulated microdomain.

At the rear of lamellipodia, two likely mechanisms contribute to the fast depolymerization and supply of G-actin needed for assembly at the front. One mechanism might be the removal of the Arp2/3 complex, facilitating ACdependent depolymerization from the pointed end. Another mechanism is a $Ca²⁺$ -independent filament severing by AC (Ref. 20), perhaps aided by active Aip1 (Refs 42,43), or a Ca^{2+} -dependent severing by gelsolin³⁸, or both. Aip1 is localized to dynamic regions of cell cortex including lamellipodia in *Dictyostelium*44. It binds with a maximum stoichiometry of 1:2:2 to AC and $\arctan 42$. Aip1 itself has no significant F-actin severing or depolymerization activity, but it greatly enhances filament depolymerization promoted by yeast cofilin or *Xenopus* AC (Refs 42,43). In motile adenocarcinoma cells, a rapid increase in filament number at the leading edge occurs in a calciumindependent fashion⁴⁵, suggesting that the severing activity of AC proteins might be modulated rapidly.

Not all filaments lacking Arp2/3 complex can be depolymerized or severed by AC. The binding of AC and tropomyosin to actin filaments is mutually exclusive. Tropomyosin inhibition of AC activity²⁰ provides another level of control for coordinating both the spatial and temporal turnover of actin filaments. Specific isoforms of tropomyosin distribute differentially during the development of some cells⁴⁶. How different filament populations arise remains an open question.

Although AC proteins are essential for enhanced actin dynamics *in vivo*⁸⁻¹⁰, clearly they do not work alone. In addition to the multiple species controlling their behavior directly, their effectiveness in disassembly and severing is greatly augmented by other monomer-sequestering proteins. These proteins, profilin and

thymosin β 4, might lack depolymerizing or severing activity themselves 47 , but they are present in sufficiently high concentration to contribute significantly to the maintenance of the ATP–G-actin pool. AC can then rebind to filaments, severing and/or removing more monomers. In contrast to ACs, which bind with higher affinity to ADP–actin than to ATP–actin, β -thymosins have a 100-fold higher affinity for ATP–actin than for ADP–actin and sequester the ATP–actin monomers in a 1:1 complex48. Under normal intracellular conditions, profilin binding to Gactin accelerates nucleotide exchange⁴⁹, generating more of the preferred ATP–Gactin for filament addition. By enhancing nucleotide exchange, often the ratelimiting step in actin cycling, profilin increases the subunit turnover in the presence of AC up to 125-fold over actin $\text{alone}^{11,50}$

Conclusions and outlook

Recent biochemical and structural studies have provided important insights into how AC proteins work with other actin-binding proteins to enhance the turnover of actin filaments, but a number of questions remain to be answered. Do different AC homologues vary qualitatively or quantitatively in their effects on filament turnover? What are the intracellular implications of these differences, especially in cells that contain more than one member of the AC family? How do the signal-transduction pathways controlling AC phosphocycling impact the activities of the other proteins discussed here? How are the bifurcating signaling pathways regulating AC phosphocycling kept in balance? Is the competitive binding of tropomyosin and AC used to distinguish different filament populations and if so how are these selected? A combination of molecular, biochemical and ultrastructural approaches will help answer these questions in the near future.

Acknowledgements

We apologize to the many authors who made original contributions to the findings reported here but whose work was not directly cited due to editorial restrictions on the number of references. Recent reviews have been cited in place of many original references. We would like to thank T. Pollard, L. Blanchoin and K. Okada for many helpful discussions, and the NIH (grants GM35126 and GM54004 to J.R.B.) and the March of Dimes Birth Defects Foundation (6-FY98- 92 to B.W.B.) for their support.

References

- 1 Carlier, M.F. and Pantaloni, D. (1997) Control of actin dynamics in cell motility. J. Mol. Biol. 269, 459–467
- 2 Wegner, A. (1982) Treadmilling of actin at physiological salt concentrations. An analysis of the critical concentrations of actin filaments. J. Mol. Biol. 161, 607–615
- 3 Rosenblatt, J. et al. (1995) The bulk of unpolymerized actin in Xenopus egg extracts is ATP-bound. Mol. Biol. Cell 6, 227–236
- 4 Maciver, S.K. et al. (1991) Characterization of actin filament severing by actophorin from Acanthamoeba castellanii. J. Cell Biol. 115, 1611–1620
- 5 Zigmond, S.H. (1993) Recent quantitative studies of actin filament turnover during cell locomotion. Cell Motil. Cytoskeleton 25, 309–316
- 6 Moon, A.L. et al. (1993) Cofilin is an essential component of the yeast cortical cytoskeleton. J. Cell Biol. 120, 421–435
- 7 Nagaoka, R. et al. (1995) Concentration of cofilin, a small actin-binding protein, at the cleavage furrow during cytokinesis. Cell Motil. Cytoskeleton 30, 1–7
- 8 Carlier, M.F. et al. (1997) Actin depolymerizing factor (ADF/cofilin) enhances the rate of filament turnover: implication in actin-based motility. J. Cell Biol. 136, 1307–1322
- 9 Rosenblatt, J. et al. (1997) Xenopus actin depolymerizing factor/cofilin (XAC) is responsible for the turnover of actin filaments in Listeria monocytogenes tails. J. Cell Biol. 136, 1323–1332
- 10 Lappalainen, P. and Drubin, D.G. (1997) Cofilin promotes rapid actin filament turnover in vivo [published erratum appears in Nature (1997) 389, 211]. Nature 388, 78–82
- 11 Blanchoin, L. and Pollard, T.D. (1998) Interaction of actin monomers with Acanthamoeba actophorin (ADF/cofilin) and profilin. J. Biol. Chem. 273, 25106–25111
- 12 Ressad, F. et al. (1998) Kinetic analysis of the interaction of actin-depolymerizing factor (ADF)/cofilin with G- and F-actins. Comparison of plant and human ADFs and effect of phosphorylation. J. Biol. Chem. 273, 20894–20902
- 13 Hayden, S.M. et al. (1993) Analysis of the interactions of actin depolymerizing factor with G- and F-actin. Biochemistry 32, 9994–10004
- 14 Nishida, E. et al. (1985) An actin-depolymerizing protein (destrin) from porcine kidney. Its action on F-actin containing or lacking tropomyosin. Biochemistry 24, 6624–6630
- 15 Agnew, B.J. et al. (1995) Reactivation of phosphorylated actin depolymerizing factor and identification of the regulatory site. J. Biol. Chem. 270, 17582–17587
- 16 Arber, S. et al. (1998) Regulation of actin dynamics through phosphorylation of cofilin by LIM-kinase. Nature 393, 805–809
- 17 Yang, N. et al. (1998) Cofilin phosphorylation by LIMkinase 1 and its role in Rac-mediated actin reorganization. Nature 393, 809–812
- 18 Sumi, T. et al. Cofilin phosphorylation and actin cytoskeletal dynamics regulated by Rho- and Cdc42-activated LIM-kinase 2. J. Cell Biol. (in press)
- 19 Meberg, P.J. et al. (1998) Actin depolymerizing factor and cofilin phosphorylation dynamics: response to signals that regulate neurite extension. Cell Motil. Cytoskeleton 39, 172–190
- 20 Bamburg, J.R. (1999) Proteins of the ADF/Cofilin family: essential regulators of actin dynamics. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 15, 185–230
- 21 Blanchoin, L. and Pollard, T.D. (1999) Mechanism of interaction of Acanthamoeba actophorin (ADF/Cofilin) with actin filaments. J. Biol. Chem. 274, 15538–15546
- 22 Hawkins, M. et al. (1993) Human actin depolymerizing factor mediates a pH-sensitive destruction of actin filaments. Biochemistry 32, 9985–9993
- 23 Maciver, S.K. et al. (1998) The effect of two actin depolymerizing factors (ADF/cofilins) on actin filament turnover: pH sensitivity of F-actin binding by human ADF, but not of Acanthamoeba actophorin. Eur. J. Biochem. 256, 388–397
- 24 Belmont, L.D. et al. (1999) A change in actin conformation associated with filament instability after Pi release. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 96, 29–34
- 25 Bamburg, J.R. et al. (1999) Putting a new twist on actin: ADF/Cofilins modulate actin dynamics. Trends

Cell Biol. 9, 364–370

- 26 McGough, A. and Chiu, W. (1999) ADF/Cofilin weakens lateral contacts in the actin filament. J. Mol. Biol. 291, 513–519
- 27 Nishida, E. et al. (1984) Cofilin, a protein in porcine brain that binds to actin filaments and inhibits their interactions with myosin and tropomyosin. Biochemistry 23, 5307–5313
- 28 Moriyama, K. and Yahara, I. Two activities of cofilin, severing and accelerating directional depolymerization of actin filaments, are differentially affected by mutations around the actin-binding helix. EMBO J. (in press)
- 29 Du, J. and Frieden, C. (1998) Kinetic studies on the effect of yeast cofilin on yeast actin polymerization. Biochemistry 37, 13276–13284
- 30 Svitkina, T.M. and Borisy, G.G. (1999) Progress in protrusion: the tell-tale scar. Trends Biochem. Sci. 24, 432–436
- 31 Welch, M.D. et al. (1997) Actin dynamics in vivo. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 9, 54–61
- 32 Schafer, D.A. et al. (1998) Visualization and molecular analysis of actin assembly in living cells. J. Cell Biol. 143, 1919–1930
- 33 Svitkina, T.M. and Borisy, G.G. (1999) Arp2/3 complex and actin depolymerizing factor/cofilin in dendritic organization and treadmilling of actin filament array in lamellipodia. J. Cell Biol. 145, 1009–1026
- 34 Mullins, R.D. et al. (1998) The interaction of Arp2/3 complex with actin: nucleation, high affinity pointed end capping, and formation of branching networks of filaments. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 95, 6181–6186
- 35 Machesky, L.M. and Way, M. (1998) Actin branches out. Nature 394, 125–126
- 36 Machesky, L.M. et al. (1999) Scar, a WASp-related protein, activates nucleation of actin filaments by the Arp2/3 complex. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 96, 3739–3744
- 37 Bailly, M. et al. (1999) Relationship between Arp2/3 complex and the barbed ends of actin filaments at the leading edge of carcinoma cells after epidermal growth factor stimulation. J. Cell Biol. 145, 331–345
- 38 Schafer, D.A. and Cooper, J.A. (1995) Control of actin assembly at filament ends. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 11, 497–518
- 39 Machesky, L.M. and Gould, K.L. (1999) The Arp2/3 complex: a multifunctional actin organizer. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 11, 117–121
- 40 Ressad, F. et al. (1999) Control of actin filament length and turnover by actin depolymerizing factor (ADF/Cofilin) in the presence of capping proteins and ARP2/3 complex. J. Biol. Chem. 274, 20970–20976
- 41 McGrath, J.L. et al. (1998) Measuring actin dynamics in endothelial cells. Microsc. Res. Tech. 43, 385–394
- 42 Okada, K. et al. (1999) XAIP1: a Xenopus homologue of yeast actin interacting protein 1 (AIP1), which induces disassembly of actin filaments cooperatively with ADF/cofilin family proteins. J. Cell Sci. 112, 1553–1565
- 43 Rodal, A.A. et al. (1999) Aip1p interacts with cofilin to disassemble actin filaments. J. Cell Biol. 145, 1251–1264
- 44 Konzok, A. et al. (1999) DAip1, a Dictyostelium homologue of the yeast actin-interacting protein 1, is involved in endocytosis, cytokinesis, and motility. J. Cell Biol. 146, 453–464
- 45 Chan, A.Y. et al. (1998) EGF stimulates an increase in actin nucleation and filament number at the leading edge of the lamellipod in mammary adenocarcinoma cells. J. Cell Sci. 111, 199–211
- 46 Weinberger, R. et al. (1996) The molecular composition of neuronal microfilaments is spatially and temporally regulated. J. Neurosci. 16, 238–252
- 47 Sun, H.Q. et al. (1995) Actin monomer binding proteins. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 7, 102–110
- 48 Goldschmidt-Clermont, P.J. et al. (1992) The control of actin nucleotide exchang by thymosin beta 4 and profilin. A potential regulatory mechanism for actin polymerization in cells. Mol. Biol. Cell 3, 1015–1024
- 49 Nishida, E. (1985) Opposite effects of cofilin and profilin from porcine brain on rate of exchange of actinbound adenosine 5=-triphosphate. Biochemistry 24, 1160–1164
- 50 Didry, D. et al. (1998) Synergy between actin depolymerizing factor/cofilin and profilin in increasing actin filament turnover. J. Biol. Chem. 273, 25602–25611

